

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,908	12/14/2000	Kaushal Kurapati	US000387	8381	
24737 759	90 10/27/2006	·	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			SALCE, J	SALCE, JASON P	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIG/IRCELIT I	WW. 111 10310		2623		
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
09/736,908	KURAPATI, KAUSHAL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason P. Salce	2623		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because John July see continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: . Jason P Salce **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 2623

Art Unit: 2623

Continuation of Item 11 of Advisory

Applicant argues that Rauch only states that the display of topics can be ordered based on a topic count that "indicates the total number of times that the particular topic has been selected in the past and that nowhere does Rauch expressly state or imply that the topic count is a ratio. The examiner notes that the examiner is not basing the topic count as the ratio, however, as the examiner previously stated in regards to the claim limitations, the ordered list of topics <u>represent</u> a ratio. Note that the claim limitations clearly state that an adjustment A is calculated, however the claims only recite that such as adjustment is <u>based on a consistency which is a ratio of an item being selected by a user relative to the number of times the item was offered, wherein the number of times the item was offered and the number of times the item was selected by the user are stored in memory.</u>

Note that both Herz and Rauch teach storing the number of times the item was offered by simply storing EPG data, which represents all the programs that the user is capable of selecting for viewing. Further, Rauch stores the number of times a selection takes place and therefore an adjustment is calculated. As stated in the previous Office Actions, when an ordered list is displayed according to the number of times that topic is selected, this clearly represents (is based on) a consistency which is a ratio of the number of times the topic/program was selected and the number of times the topic/program was offered. The claims do not positively recite calculating a ratio using these two values, the claims only recites that the calculated adjustment is

Application/Control Number: 09/736,908 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

representative of this ratio. The examiner further notes that if program A is selected once out of the five times it is aired in a week and if program B is selected five out of the five times it is aired in a week, then 5 for program B is going to be ranked higher than program A and is therefore based on a ratio of the number of times the program is selected versus the number of times the program was offered.